

# Elliptic boundary value problems with complex $L^\infty$ coefficients and fractional regularity data

(the first order approach)

Alex Amenta (joint work with Pascal Auscher)

Institut Fourier, Grenoble

May 26, 2016

# The divergence form elliptic equation

$$\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0, \quad u: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

# The divergence form elliptic equation

$$\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0, \quad u: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The coefficients  $A(t, x) = A(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{L}(C^{1+n}))$  are

- $t$ -independent,

# The divergence form elliptic equation

$$\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0, \quad u: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The coefficients  $A(t, x) = A(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{1+n}))$  are

- $t$ -independent,
- uniformly elliptic: there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(x)v, v) \geq \kappa |v|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^{1+n}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

# The divergence form elliptic equation

$$\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0, \quad u: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The coefficients  $A(t, x) = A(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{1+n}))$  are

- $t$ -independent,
- uniformly elliptic: there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(x)v, v) \geq \kappa|v|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^{1+n}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

- not assumed to be real, symmetric, or smooth in any way.

# Boundary value problems

For  $\theta \in [-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ , formulate the *Regularity problem*

$$(R)_{\theta, A}^p : \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{T_\theta^p} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\parallel} f\|_{\dot{H}_\theta^p}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = \nabla_{\parallel} f \in \dot{H}_\theta^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n). \end{cases}$$

# Boundary value problems

For  $\theta \in [-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ , formulate the *Regularity problem*

$$(R)_{\theta, A}^p : \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{T_\theta^p} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\parallel} f\|_{\dot{H}_\theta^p}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = \nabla_{\parallel} f \in \dot{H}_\theta^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n). \end{cases}$$

and the *Neumann problem*

$$(N)_{\theta, A}^p : \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{T_\theta^p} \lesssim \|\partial_{\nu_A} f\|_{\dot{H}_\theta^p}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_{\nu_A} u(t, \cdot) = \partial_{\nu_A} f \in \dot{H}_\theta^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

# Boundary value problems

For  $\theta \in [-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ , formulate the *Regularity problem*

$$(R)_{\theta, A}^p : \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{T_\theta^p} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\parallel} f\|_{\dot{H}_\theta^p}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = \nabla_{\parallel} f \in \dot{H}_\theta^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n). \end{cases}$$

and the *Neumann problem*

$$(N)_{\theta, A}^p : \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ \|\nabla u\|_{T_\theta^p} \lesssim \|\partial_{\nu_A} f\|_{\dot{H}_\theta^p}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\parallel} u(t, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \partial_{\nu_A} u(t, \cdot) = \partial_{\nu_A} f \in \dot{H}_\theta^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

Such a problem is *well-posed* if for every boundary data there exists a *unique* solution  $u$  satisfying the given conditions.



# Notation, as promised

$T_\theta^p$  is a (*weighted*) *tent space*, with norm

$$\|F\|_{T_\theta^p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^{-\theta} F(t, y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

# Notation, as promised

$T_\theta^p$  is a (*weighted*) *tent space*, with norm

$$\|F\|_{T_\theta^p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^{-\theta} F(t, y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

boundary conditions are given in terms of the *tangential gradient*

$$\nabla_{\parallel} u = (\partial_1 u, \dots, \partial_n u)$$

# Notation, as promised

$T_\theta^p$  is a (*weighted*) *tent space*, with norm

$$\|F\|_{T_\theta^p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^{-\theta} F(t, y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

boundary conditions are given in terms of the *tangential gradient*

$$\nabla_{\parallel} u = (\partial_1 u, \dots, \partial_n u)$$

and the *A-conormal derivative*

$$\partial_{\nu_A} u = e_0 \cdot A \nabla u,$$

with  $e_0$  the vector to in the  $t$ -direction.

# Notation, as promised

$T_\theta^p$  is a (*weighted*) *tent space*, with norm

$$\|F\|_{T_\theta^p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^{-\theta} F(t, y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

boundary conditions are given in terms of the *tangential gradient*

$$\nabla_{\parallel} u = (\partial_1 u, \dots, \partial_n u)$$

and the *A-conormal derivative*

$$\partial_{\nu_A} u = e_0 \cdot A \nabla u,$$

with  $e_0$  the vector to in the  $t$ -direction.

$\dot{H}_\theta^p$  : homogeneous Hardy–Sobolev space of order  $\theta$ .

# Notation, as promised

$T_\theta^p$  is a (*weighted*) *tent space*, with norm

$$\|F\|_{T_\theta^p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^{-\theta} F(t, y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

boundary conditions are given in terms of the *tangential gradient*

$$\nabla_{\parallel} u = (\partial_1 u, \dots, \partial_n u)$$

and the *A-conormal derivative*

$$\partial_{\nu_A} u = e_0 \cdot A \nabla u,$$

with  $e_0$  the vector to in the  $t$ -direction.

$\dot{H}_\theta^p$  : homogeneous Hardy–Sobolev space of order  $\theta$ .

$\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$  :  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -valued tempered distributions modulo polynomials.

# Sketch of the first-order approach

- Identify the second-order equation  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  with a first-order 'Cauchy–Riemann system'  $\partial_t F + DBF = 0$  for

$$F = \nabla_A u = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u \\ \nabla_{\parallel} u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Sketch of the first-order approach

- Identify the second-order equation  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  with a first-order 'Cauchy–Riemann system'  $\partial_t F + DBF = 0$  for

$$F = \nabla_A u = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u \\ \nabla_{\parallel} u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Classify solutions to Cauchy–Riemann systems within various function spaces (for example, tent spaces) as semigroups,

$$F(t) = e^{-tDB} F_0 \quad \text{for **some appropriate } F_0**$$

# Sketch of the first-order approach

- Identify the second-order equation  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  with a first-order 'Cauchy–Riemann system'  $\partial_t F + DBF = 0$  for

$$F = \nabla_A u = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u \\ \nabla_{\parallel} u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Classify solutions to Cauchy–Riemann systems within various function spaces (for example, tent spaces) as semigroups,

$$F(t) = e^{-tDB} F_0 \quad \text{for **some appropriate** } F_0$$

- Note that  $(F_0)_{\perp}$  and  $(F_0)_{\parallel}$  are the boundary data for Regularity/Neumann problems



# Sketch of the first-order approach

- Identify the second-order equation  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  with a first-order 'Cauchy–Riemann system'  $\partial_t F + DBF = 0$  for

$$F = \nabla_A u = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u \\ \nabla_{\parallel} u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Classify solutions to Cauchy–Riemann systems within various function spaces (for example, tent spaces) as semigroups,

$$F(t) = e^{-tDB} F_0 \quad \text{for **some appropriate } F_0**$$

- Note that  $(F_0)_{\perp}$  and  $(F_0)_{\parallel}$  are the boundary data for Regularity/Neumann problems
- Well-posedness then becomes a question of 'completing' boundary data: Given  $\nabla_{\parallel} f \in \dot{H}_{\theta}^p$ , can we find  $F_0$  with  $(F_0)_{\parallel} = \nabla_{\parallel} f$ ? Is such an  $F_0$  unique?

# Dirac operators and Cauchy–Riemann systems

For  $F: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1+n}$ ,

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{div} F_{\parallel} \\ -\nabla F_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(here  $F_{\perp}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $F_{\parallel}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ )

# Dirac operators and Cauchy–Riemann systems

For  $F: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1+n}$ ,

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{div} F_{\parallel} \\ -\nabla F_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(here  $F_{\perp}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $F_{\parallel}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ )

Let  $B \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{1+n}))$  satisfy the same assumptions as  $A$ , and define  $DB$  as an unbounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^{1+n})$ .

# Dirac operators and Cauchy–Riemann systems

For  $F: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1+n}$ ,

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{div} F_{\parallel} \\ -\nabla F_{\perp} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(here  $F_{\perp}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $F_{\parallel}: \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ )

Let  $B \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{1+n}))$  satisfy the same assumptions as  $A$ , and define  $DB$  as an unbounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^{1+n})$ .

Associated with  $DB$  we have a *Cauchy–Riemann system*:

$$(\text{CR})_{DB} : \begin{cases} \partial_t F + DBF = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}, \\ F_{\parallel} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)}. \end{cases}$$

# CR-systems vs. elliptic equations

Theorem (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010)

If  $u$  solves  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$ , then the conormal gradient  $\nabla_A u$  solves the Cauchy–Riemann system  $(\operatorname{CR})_{D\hat{A}}$ .

# CR-systems vs. elliptic equations

## Theorem (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010)

*If  $u$  solves  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$ , then the conormal gradient  $\nabla_A u$  solves the Cauchy–Riemann system  $(\operatorname{CR})_{D\hat{A}}$ . Conversely, if  $F$  solves  $(\operatorname{CR})_{D\hat{A}}$ , then there exists a function  $u$ , unique up to an additive constant, such that  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  and  $F = \nabla_A u$ .*

# CR-systems vs. elliptic equations

## Theorem (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010)

*If  $u$  solves  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$ , then the conormal gradient  $\nabla_A u$  solves the Cauchy–Riemann system  $(\operatorname{CR})_{D\hat{A}}$ . Conversely, if  $F$  solves  $(\operatorname{CR})_{D\hat{A}}$ , then there exists a function  $u$ , unique up to an additive constant, such that  $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$  and  $F = \nabla_A u$ .*

In the splitting  $\mathbb{C}^{1+n} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp} & A_{\perp\parallel} \\ A_{\parallel\perp} & A_{\parallel\parallel} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A_{\parallel\perp} & A_{\parallel\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp} & A_{\perp\parallel} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

# $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces

$DB$  is bisectorial and has bounded  $H^\infty$  functional calculus on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)} \subset L^2$  (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010).



# $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces

$DB$  is bisectorial and has bounded  $H^\infty$  functional calculus on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)} \subset L^2$  (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010).

So for every bounded holomorphic function  $\varphi: S_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we can define a bounded operator  $\varphi(DB)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$ ,

# $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces

$DB$  is bisectorial and has bounded  $H^\infty$  functional calculus on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)} \subset L^2$  (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010).

So for every bounded holomorphic function  $\varphi: S_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we can define a bounded operator  $\varphi(DB)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$ , and then *extension operators*

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{\varphi, DB} f)(t, x) = (\varphi(tDB)f)(x) \quad (t > 0, f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}).$$

# $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces

$DB$  is bisectorial and has bounded  $H^\infty$  functional calculus on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)} \subset L^2$  (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010).

So for every bounded holomorphic function  $\varphi: S_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we can define a bounded operator  $\varphi(DB)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$ , and then *extension operators*

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{\varphi, DB} f)(t, x) = (\varphi(tDB)f)(x) \quad (t > 0, f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}).$$

Our  $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p$  are **formally** defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p} := \|\mathbb{Q}_{\varphi, DB} f\|_{T_\theta^p},$$

# $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces

$DB$  is bisectorial and has bounded  $H^\infty$  functional calculus on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(D)} \subset L^2$  (Auscher–Axelsson–McIntosh 2010).

So for every bounded holomorphic function  $\varphi: S_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we can define a bounded operator  $\varphi(DB)$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$ , and then *extension operators*

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{\varphi, DB} f)(t, x) = (\varphi(tDB)f)(x) \quad (t > 0, f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}).$$

Our  $DB$ -adapted Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p$  are **formally** defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p} := \|\mathbb{Q}_{\varphi, DB} f\|_{T_\theta^p},$$

and to a (quantified) extent these spaces are independent of the choice of  $\varphi$ .

# Spectral subspaces and Cauchy extensions

Bounded  $H^\infty$  calculus of  $DB$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$  extends to all adapted spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p$ .

# Spectral subspaces and Cauchy extensions

Bounded  $H^\infty$  calculus of  $DB$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(DB)}$  extends to all adapted spaces  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^p$ .

Some particularly useful operators can be constructed:

- The *spectral projections*  $\chi^+(DB)$  and  $\chi^-(DB)$  defined via

$$\chi^+(z) := \mathbf{1}_{z:\operatorname{Re}(z)>0}, \quad \chi^-(z) := \mathbf{1}_{z:\operatorname{Re}(z)<0},$$

which induce a decomposition

$$\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^p = \mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+} \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,-}.$$

- The *Cauchy extension*

$$\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) := e^{-tDB}\chi^+(DB)f \quad (t > 0)$$

which acts as a strongly continuous semigroup on  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+}$ .

The endpoints  $\theta \in \{-1, 0\}$

Theorem (Auscher–Mourgoglou 2015, Auscher–Stahlhut 2016)

Let  $p > \frac{n}{n+1}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{0,D}^p$ .

# The endpoints $\theta \in \{-1, 0\}$

Theorem (Auscher–Mourgoglou 2015, Auscher–Stahlhut 2016)

Let  $p > \frac{n}{n+1}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{0,D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^{p,+}$  (resp.  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{-1,DB}^{p',+}$ ), then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\left\| \tilde{N}_*(\mathbf{C}_{DB}f) \right\|_p \simeq \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (\text{resp. } \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{-1}^{p'}} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_0^p \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}).$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .



# The endpoints $\theta \in \{-1, 0\}$

Theorem (Auscher–Mourgoglou 2015, Auscher–Stahlhut 2016)

Let  $p > \frac{n}{n+1}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{0,D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^{p,+}$  (resp.  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{-1,DB}^{p',+}$ ), then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\left\| \tilde{N}_*(\mathbf{C}_{DB}f) \right\|_p \simeq \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (\text{resp. } \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{-1}^{p'}} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_0^p \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}).$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

- Conversely, if  $F$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ ,  $\tilde{N}_*F \in L^p$  (resp.  $F \in T_{-1}^{p'}$ ), and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|F(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ , then  $F = \mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  for some  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^{p,+}$  (resp.  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{-1,DB}^{p',+}$ ).

# The endpoints $\theta \in \{-1, 0\}$

Theorem (Auscher–Mourgoglou 2015, Auscher–Stahlhut 2016)

Let  $p > \frac{n}{n+1}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{0,D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^{p,+}$  (resp.  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{-1,DB}^{p',+}$ ), then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\left\| \tilde{N}_*(\mathbf{C}_{DB}f) \right\|_p \simeq \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (\text{resp. } \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{-1}^{p'}} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}}), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_0^p \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{-1}^{p'}).$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

- Conversely, if  $F$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ ,  $\tilde{N}_*F \in L^p$  (resp.  $F \in T_{-1}^{p'}$ ), and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|F(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ , then  $F = \mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  for some  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{0,DB}^{p,+}$  (resp.  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{-1,DB}^{p',+}$ ).

(when  $p \leq 1$  we need to adjust the function spaces).

# The intermediate range, $\theta \in (-1, 0)$

## Theorem (AA–Auscher 2016)

Let  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta, D}^p$ .

# The intermediate range, $\theta \in (-1, 0)$

## Theorem (AA–Auscher 2016)

Let  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta, D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^{p,+}$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{\theta}^p} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{\theta}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{\theta}^p.$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

# The intermediate range, $\theta \in (-1, 0)$

## Theorem (AA–Auscher 2016)

Let  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta, D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^{p,+}$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{\theta}^p} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{\theta}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{\theta}^p.$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

- Conversely, if  $F \in T_{\theta}^p$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|F(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ , then  $F = \mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  for some  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^{p,+}$ .

# The intermediate range, $\theta \in (-1, 0)$

## Theorem (AA–Auscher 2016)

Let  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$  be such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta, D}^p$ .

- If  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^{p,+}$ , then  $\mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$ , with

$$\|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f\|_{T_{\theta}^p} \simeq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{\theta}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t) = f \text{ in } \dot{H}_{\theta}^p.$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{C}_{DB}f(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ .

- Conversely, if  $F \in T_{\theta}^p$  solves  $(\text{CR})_{DB}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|F(t)\| = 0$  in  $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n)$ , then  $F = \mathbf{C}_{DB}f$  for some  $f \in \mathbf{H}_{\theta, DB}^{p,+}$ .

This does *not* follow from the previous theorem by interpolation. Our proof only covers  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$ : it does not recover the previous theorem.

# Classification of well-posedness

When  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p &= \dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus (\dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(\text{curl})) \\ &=: \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.\end{aligned}$$

# Classification of well-posedness

When  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p &= \dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus (\dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(\text{curl})) \\ &=: \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_{\perp}$  and  $N_{\parallel}$  denote the associated projections on  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ .



# Classification of well-posedness

When  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p &= \dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus (\dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(\text{curl})) \\ &=: \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_{\perp}$  and  $N_{\parallel}$  denote the associated projections on  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ .

For  $(p, \theta)$  such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ ,

# Classification of well-posedness

When  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p &= \dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus (\dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(\text{curl})) \\ &=: \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_{\perp}$  and  $N_{\parallel}$  denote the associated projections on  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ .

For  $(p, \theta)$  such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ , we can identify  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ ,

# Classification of well-posedness

When  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$  and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p &= \dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus (\dot{H}_{\theta}^p(\mathbb{R}^n : \mathbb{C}^n) \cap \mathcal{N}(\text{curl})) \\ &=: \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_{\perp}$  and  $N_{\parallel}$  denote the associated projections on  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ .

For  $(p, \theta)$  such that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^p \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ , we can identify  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ , and then restrict the projections  $N_{\perp}$  and  $N_{\parallel}$  to define

$$N_{DB,\perp}^{(p,\theta)} : \mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\perp}^p \quad \text{and} \quad N_{DB,\parallel}^{(p,\theta)} : \mathbf{H}_{\theta,DB}^{p,+} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\theta,\parallel}^p.$$

**Theorem (Auscher–Mourgoglou 2014, AA–Auscher 2016)**

Let  $\theta \in [-1, 0]$ , and  $p > \frac{n}{n+1+\theta}$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{H}_{\theta,D\hat{A}}^p = \mathbf{H}_{\theta,D}^p$ . Then  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  (resp.  $(N)_{\theta,A}^p$ ) is well-posed if and only if  $N_{D\hat{A},\parallel}^{(p,\theta)}$  (resp.  $N_{D\hat{A},\perp}^{(p,\theta)}$ ) is an isomorphism.

# Consequences

- Duality: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{-1-\theta,A^*}^{p'}$

# Consequences

- Duality: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{-1-\theta,A^*}^{p'}$
- Interpolation of (compatible) well-posedness (takes too much effort to write rigorously)

# Consequences

- Duality: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{-1-\theta,A^*}^{p'}$
- Interpolation of (compatible) well-posedness (takes too much effort to write rigorously)
- Extrapolation: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{\tilde{\theta},A}^{\tilde{p}}$  for  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{\theta})$  near  $(p, \theta)$

# Consequences

- Duality: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{-1-\theta,A^*}^{p'}$
- Interpolation of (compatible) well-posedness (takes too much effort to write rigorously)
- Extrapolation: well-posedness of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies well-posedness of  $(R)_{\tilde{\theta},A}^{\tilde{p}}$  for  $(\tilde{p}, \tilde{\theta})$  near  $(p, \theta)$
- Some stability in coefficients: w-p of  $(R)_{\theta,A}^p$  implies w-p of  $(R)_{\theta,\tilde{A}}^p$  for  $\|\tilde{A} - A\|_{\infty}$  sufficiently small (with some restrictions on  $(p, \theta)$ ).

# What about Besov spaces?

We can Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\dot{H}_\theta^p$  with Besov spaces  $\dot{B}_\theta^{p,p}$ , and tent spaces  $T_\theta^p$  with the spaces  $Z_\theta^p$  defined by

$$\|F\|_{Z_\theta^p} := \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left( \int_{t/2}^{2t} \int_{B(x,t)} |\tau^{-\theta} F(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{p/2} dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}.$$



# What about Besov spaces?

We can Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\dot{H}_\theta^p$  with Besov spaces  $\dot{B}_\theta^{p,p}$ , and tent spaces  $T_\theta^p$  with the spaces  $Z_\theta^p$  defined by

$$\|F\|_{Z_\theta^p} := \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left( \int_{t/2}^{2t} \int_{B(x,t)} |\tau^{-\theta} F(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{p/2} dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that

$$(\dot{H}_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, \dot{H}_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq \dot{B}_\theta^{p,p} \quad \text{and} \quad (T_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, T_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq Z_\theta^p.$$

# What about Besov spaces?

We can Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\dot{H}_\theta^p$  with Besov spaces  $\dot{B}_\theta^{p,p}$ , and tent spaces  $T_\theta^p$  with the spaces  $Z_\theta^p$  defined by

$$\|F\|_{Z_\theta^p} := \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left( \int_{t/2}^{2t} \int_{B(x,t)} |\tau^{-\theta} F(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{p/2} dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that

$$(\dot{H}_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, \dot{H}_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq \dot{B}_\theta^{p,p} \quad \text{and} \quad (T_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, T_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq Z_\theta^p.$$

Our whole theory works identically for  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$ .

# What about Besov spaces?

We can Hardy–Sobolev spaces  $\dot{H}_\theta^p$  with Besov spaces  $\dot{B}_\theta^{p,p}$ , and tent spaces  $T_\theta^p$  with the spaces  $Z_\theta^p$  defined by

$$\|F\|_{Z_\theta^p} := \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n}} \left( \int_{t/2}^{2t} \int_{B(x,t)} |\tau^{-\theta} F(\tau, \xi)|^2 d\xi d\tau \right)^{p/2} dx \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that

$$(\dot{H}_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, \dot{H}_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq \dot{B}_\theta^{p,p} \quad \text{and} \quad (T_{\theta_0}^{p_0}, T_{\theta_1}^{p_1})_{\alpha,p} \simeq Z_\theta^p.$$

Our whole theory works identically for  $\theta \in (-1, 0)$ .

One can even use interpolation to deduce well-posedness of BVPs with data in  $\dot{B}_\theta^{p,p}$  from endpoint problems with data in  $\dot{H}_\theta^p$ . Thus we recover some of the results of Barton and Mayboroda (2016).

**Thanks for your attention!**