

The maximal operator, weights and extrapolation on variable Lebesgue spaces

David V. Cruz-Urbe, OFS

University of Alabama

University of Helsinki



Joint work with (a cast of thousands):

- A. Fiorenza
- C.J. Neugebauer
- L. Diening
- P. Hästö
- D. Wang



Intuition

Classical Lebesgue spaces:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Variable Lebesgue spaces:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$



Intuition

Classical Lebesgue spaces:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Variable Lebesgue spaces:

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$



Motivation: Function Spaces, PDEs and variational integrals

Example of a Musielak-Orlicz space

Minimization problem:

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

Euler-Lagrange equation: $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian

$$\operatorname{div}(p(\cdot)|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2}\nabla u) = 0$$



Motivation: Function Spaces, PDEs and variational integrals

Example of a Musielak-Orlicz space

Minimization problem:

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

Euler-Lagrange equation: $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian

$$\operatorname{div}(p(\cdot)|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2}\nabla u) = 0$$



Motivation: Function Spaces, PDEs and variational integrals

Example of a Musielak-Orlicz space

Minimization problem:

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

Euler-Lagrange equation: $p(\cdot)$ -Laplacian

$$\operatorname{div}(p(\cdot)|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2}\nabla u) = 0$$



Applications

Electrorheological fluids: space dependent energy estimates

Image restoration: L^1 estimates at boundaries, L^2 estimates in interior



Applications

Electrorheological fluids: space dependent energy estimates

Image restoration: L^1 estimates at boundaries, L^2 estimates in interior



A meta-theorem



If a theorem is true in L^p , it is probably true in $L^{p(\cdot)}$,
but proving it will be an adventure.



Exponent functions

$$\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rho(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$$

$$\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = \infty\}$$

For $E \subset \Omega$

$$\rho_-(E) = \text{ess inf}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

$$\rho_+(E) = \text{ess sup}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

Hereafter: $\rho_- = \rho_-(\Omega)$, $\rho_+ = \rho_+(\Omega)$



Exponent functions

$$\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rho(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$$

$$\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = \infty\}$$

For $E \subset \Omega$

$$\rho_-(E) = \text{ess inf}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

$$\rho_+(E) = \text{ess sup}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

Hereafter: $\rho_- = \rho_-(\Omega)$, $\rho_+ = \rho_+(\Omega)$



Exponent functions

$$\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rho(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$$

$$\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = \infty\}$$

For $E \subset \Omega$

$$\rho_-(E) = \text{ess inf}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

$$\rho_+(E) = \text{ess sup}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

Hereafter: $\rho_- = \rho_-(\Omega)$, $\rho_+ = \rho_+(\Omega)$



Exponent functions

$$\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \quad \rho(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$$

$$\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) = \infty\}$$

For $E \subset \Omega$

$$\rho_-(E) = \text{ess inf}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

$$\rho_+(E) = \text{ess sup}\{\rho(x) : x \in E\}$$

Hereafter: $\rho_- = \rho_-(\Omega)$, $\rho_+ = \rho_+(\Omega)$



The modular & norm

Given $\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ define the modular:

$$\rho_{\rho(\cdot)}(f) = \rho(f) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty} |f(x)|^{\rho(x)} dx + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\infty)}$$

and the Luxemburg norm:

$$\|f\|_{\rho(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{\rho(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$



The modular & norm

Given $\rho(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ define the modular:

$$\rho_{\rho(\cdot)}(f) = \rho(f) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty} |f(x)|^{\rho(x)} dx + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\infty)}$$

and the Luxemburg norm:

$$\|f\|_{\rho(\cdot)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{\rho(\cdot)}(f/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$



The space $L^{p(\cdot)}$

Theorem

Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$ is a norm and

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{f : \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} < \infty\}$$

is a Banach function space.



The maximal operator

Given $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy = \sup_{Q \ni x} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Theorem

Given $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|Mf\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$



The maximal operator

Given $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy = \sup_{Q \ni x} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Theorem

Given $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|Mf\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$



Local log-Hölder continuity

Given $p(\cdot)$, we say $1/p(\cdot) \in LH_0$ if

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p(y)} \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{-\log(|x - y|)}, \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}$$

If $p_+ < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in LH_0 \Leftrightarrow 1/p(\cdot) \in LH_0$.



Local log-Hölder continuity

Given $p(\cdot)$, we say $1/p(\cdot) \in LH_0$ if

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p(y)} \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{-\log(|x - y|)}, \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}$$

If $p_+ < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in LH_0 \Leftrightarrow 1/p(\cdot) \in LH_0$.



Log-Hölder continuity at infinity

Given $p(\cdot)$, we say $1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$ if there exists p_∞ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

If $p_+ < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty \Leftrightarrow 1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$.

Hereafter, let $LH = LH_0 \cap LH_\infty$.



Log-Hölder continuity at infinity

Given $p(\cdot)$, we say $1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$ if there exists p_∞ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

If $p_+ < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty \Leftrightarrow 1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$.

Hereafter, let $LH = LH_0 \cap LH_\infty$.



Log-Hölder continuity at infinity

Given $p(\cdot)$, we say $1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$ if there exists p_∞ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

If $p_+ < \infty$, $p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty \Leftrightarrow 1/p(\cdot) \in LH_\infty$.

Hereafter, let $LH = LH_0 \cap LH_\infty$.



$L^{p(\cdot)}$ estimates for the maximal operator

Theorem (Diening; Nekvinda; DCU,AF,CJN)

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $1/p(\cdot) \in LH$ and $p_- > 1$; then

$$\|Mf\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$



LH_0 and LH_∞ pointwise sharp

Take any $\phi(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, increasing, smooth $\phi(x) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(x) \log(x) = -\infty.$$

Define

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 2 + \phi(x) & x \geq 0 \\ 2 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $p(\cdot) \notin LH_0$ and M not bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$.

Similar construction holds for LH_∞ .



LH_0 and LH_∞ pointwise sharp

Take any $\phi(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, increasing, smooth $\phi(x) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(x) \log(x) = -\infty.$$

Define

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 2 + \phi(x) & x \geq 0 \\ 2 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $p(\cdot) \notin LH_0$ and M not bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$.

Similar construction holds for LH_∞ .



LH_0 and LH_∞ pointwise sharp

Take any $\phi(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, increasing, smooth $\phi(x) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(x) \log(x) = -\infty.$$

Define

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 2 + \phi(x) & x \geq 0 \\ 2 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $p(\cdot) \notin LH_0$ and M not bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$.

Similar construction holds for LH_∞ .



Continuity not necessary

Theorem (Lerner)

Let

$$p(x) = 2 + \alpha \sin(\pi \log \log(|x| + 1/|x|));$$

Then $p(\cdot)$ is not continuous at 0 or infinity

But for all $\alpha > 0$ small, M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R})$.



A_p weights

A non-negative, locally integrable function w is in A_p ,
 $1 < p < \infty$, if

$$[w]_{A_p} = \sup_Q \int_Q w(x) dx \left(\int_Q w(x)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty.$$



Classical inequalities

Theorem

For $1 < p < \infty$ TFAE

- $w \in A_p$
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx$
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |R_j f(x)|^p w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$



Weights as multipliers

Replace weight w by w^p , and restate A_p condition as

$$\sup_Q |Q|^{-1} \|w \chi_Q\|_p \|w^{-1} \chi_Q\|_{p'} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Then for $1 < p \leq \infty$ restate norm inequalities as

$$\|(Mf)w\|_p \leq C \|fw\|_p$$



Weights as multipliers

Replace weight w by w^p , and restate A_p condition as

$$\sup_Q |Q|^{-1} \|w \chi_Q\|_p \|w^{-1} \chi_Q\|_{p'} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Then for $1 < p \leq \infty$ restate norm inequalities as

$$\|(Mf)w\|_p \leq C \|fw\|_p$$



Variable A_p weights

Given an exponent $p(\cdot)$, a weight $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ if

$$[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}} = \sup_Q |Q|^{-1} \|w\chi_Q\|_{p(\cdot)} \|w^{-1}\chi_Q\|_{p'(\cdot)} < \infty.$$



Main result

Theorem (LD,PH; DCU, LD, PH; DCU,AF,CJN)

Given $p(\cdot)$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, such that $p(\cdot) \in LH$, if $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\|(Mf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Conversely, if the weighted inequality holds, then $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ (even without $p(\cdot) \in LH$).



Main result

Theorem (LD,PH; DCU, LD, PH; DCU,AF,CJN)

Given $p(\cdot)$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, such that $p(\cdot) \in LH$, if $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\|(Mf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Conversely, if the weighted inequality holds, then $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ (even without $p(\cdot) \in LH$).



Problem with unweighted proof

Unweighted proof used norm inequalities for maximal operator on L^{p_-} and L^{p_∞}

This would require $w(\cdot)^{p(\cdot)} \in A_{p_-}$



Problem with unweighted proof

Unweighted proof used norm inequalities for maximal operator on L^{p_-} and L^{p_∞}

This would require $w(\cdot)^{p(\cdot)} \in A_{p_-}$



A key lemma

Lemma

If $p(\cdot) \in LH$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$, then $w(\cdot)^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$ ($A_q, q > p_+$)



Alternate proof of Christ and Fefferman

- Discretize:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \approx \sum_{k,j} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| dy \right)^p w(E_j^k)$$

- Definition of A_p : let $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$

$$\sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| \sigma^{-1} \sigma dy \right)^p \sigma(Q_j^k) \frac{w(E_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \left(\frac{\sigma(Q_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \right)^{p-1}$$

- Weighted maximal operator

$$\sum_{k,j} \int_{E_j^k} M_\sigma(f\sigma^{-1})(x)^p \sigma(x) dx$$



Alternate proof of Christ and Fefferman

- Discretize:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \approx \sum_{k,j} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| dy \right)^p w(E_j^k)$$

- Definition of A_p : let $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$

$$\sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| \sigma^{-1} \sigma dy \right)^p \sigma(Q_j^k) \frac{w(E_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \left(\frac{\sigma(Q_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \right)^{p-1}$$

- Weighted maximal operator

$$\sum_{k,j} \int_{E_j^k} M_\sigma(f\sigma^{-1})(x)^p \sigma(x) dx$$



Alternate proof of Christ and Fefferman

- Discretize:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Mf(x)^p w(x) dx \approx \sum_{k,j} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| dy \right)^p w(E_j^k)$$

- Definition of A_p : let $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$

$$\sum_{k,j} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| \sigma^{-1} \sigma dy \right)^p \sigma(Q_j^k) \frac{w(E_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \left(\frac{\sigma(Q_j^k)}{|Q_j^k|} \right)^{p-1}$$

- Weighted maximal operator

$$\sum_{k,j} \int_{E_j^k} M_\sigma(f\sigma^{-1})(x)^p \sigma(x) dx$$



Adapting this proof to weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$

- $f \geq 0$, bounded, compact support, $\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$
- $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} > 1\}}$, $f_2 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} \leq 1\}}$, $\sigma = w(\cdot)^{-p'(\cdot)}$
- estimate f_1 using LH_0 , f_2 using LH_∞
- Discretize both terms



Adapting this proof to weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$

- $f \geq 0$, bounded, compact support, $\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$
- $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} > 1\}}$, $f_2 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} \leq 1\}}$, $\sigma = w(\cdot)^{-p'(\cdot)}$
- estimate f_1 using LH_0 , f_2 using LH_∞
- Discretize both terms



Adapting this proof to weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$

- $f \geq 0$, bounded, compact support, $\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$
- $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} > 1\}}$, $f_2 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} \leq 1\}}$, $\sigma = w(\cdot)^{-p'(\cdot)}$
- estimate f_1 using LH_0 , f_2 using LH_∞
- Discretize both terms



Adapting this proof to weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$

- $f \geq 0$, bounded, compact support, $\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$
- $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} > 1\}}$, $f_2 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} \leq 1\}}$, $\sigma = w(\cdot)^{-p'(\cdot)}$
- estimate f_1 using LH_0 , f_2 using LH_∞
- Discretize both terms



Adapting this proof to weighted $L^{p(\cdot)}$

- $f \geq 0$, bounded, compact support, $\|fw\|_{p(\cdot)} = 1$
- $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} > 1\}}$, $f_2 = f\chi_{\{f\sigma^{-1} \leq 1\}}$, $\sigma = w(\cdot)^{-p'(\cdot)}$
- estimate f_1 using LH_0 , f_2 using LH_∞
- Discretize both terms



Estimate for f_1

- Hölder's inequality “works”:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int Mf_1(x)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} [f_1(y)\sigma(y)^{-1}]^{p(y)/p_-} \sigma(y) dy \right)^{p_-} \\ & \quad \times \int_{Q_j^k} \sigma(Q_j^k)^{p_-(Q_j^k)} |Q_j^k|^{-p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

- Use $A_{p(\cdot)}$ and A_∞ to bound last term by $\sigma(Q_j^k) \lesssim \sigma(E_j^k)$
- weighted maximal operator M_σ on $L^{p_-}(\sigma)$



Estimate for f_1

- Hölder's inequality "works":

$$\begin{aligned} & \int Mf_1(x)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} [f_1(y)\sigma(y)^{-1}]^{p(y)/p_-} \sigma(y) dy \right)^{p_-} \\ & \quad \times \int_{Q_j^k} \sigma(Q_j^k)^{p_-(Q_j^k)} |Q_j^k|^{-p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

- Use $A_{p(\cdot)}$ and A_∞ to bound last term by $\sigma(Q_j^k) \lesssim \sigma(E_j^k)$
- weighted maximal operator M_σ on $L^{p_-}(\sigma)$



Estimate for f_1

- Hölder's inequality “works”:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int Mf_1(x)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} [f_1(y)\sigma(y)^{-1}]^{p(y)/p_-} \sigma(y) dy \right)^{p_-} \\ & \quad \times \int_{Q_j^k} \sigma(Q_j^k)^{p_-(Q_j^k)} |Q_j^k|^{-p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

- Use $A_{p(\cdot)}$ and A_∞ to bound last term by $\sigma(Q_j^k) \lesssim \sigma(E_j^k)$
- weighted maximal operator M_σ on $L^{p_-}(\sigma)$



Estimate for f_1

- Hölder's inequality “works”:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int Mf_1(x)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} [f_1(y)\sigma(y)^{-1}]^{p(y)/p_-} \sigma(y) dy \right)^{p_-} \\ & \quad \times \int_{Q_j^k} \sigma(Q_j^k)^{p_-(Q_j^k)} |Q_j^k|^{-p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

- Use $A_{p(\cdot)}$ and A_∞ to bound last term by $\sigma(Q_j^k) \lesssim \sigma(E_j^k)$
- weighted maximal operator M_σ on $L^{p_-}(\sigma)$



Estimate for f_2

- Multiple cases depending on size of cubes
- Simplest case

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\
 & \lesssim \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p_\infty} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\
 & \quad + \sum \int_{E_j^k} \frac{w(x)^{p(x)}}{(e + |x|)^{n(p-\cdot)}} dx
 \end{aligned}$$



Estimate for f_2

- Multiple cases depending on size of cubes
- Simplest case

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p_\infty} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \quad + \sum \int_{E_j^k} \frac{w(x)^{p(x)}}{(e + |x|)^{n p_-}} dx \end{aligned}$$



Estimate for f_2

- Multiple cases depending on size of cubes
- Simplest case

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p(x)} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \lesssim \sum \int_{E_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f_2(y) dy \right)^{p_\infty} w(x)^{p(x)} dx \\ & \quad + \sum \int_{E_j^k} \frac{w(x)^{p(x)}}{(e + |x|)^{n p_-}} dx \end{aligned}$$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?

- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators

- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type

- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?

- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators

- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type

- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?
- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators
- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type
- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?

- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators

- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type

- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?

- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators

- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type

- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Five open questions

- Is theorem true when $p_+ = \infty$?

- Can we eliminate LH condition?

Conjecture [LD,PH]: M bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$

- Generalize to fractional, one-sided maximal operators
- Generalize to spaces of homogeneous type
- Find the sharp constants in terms of $[w]_{A_{p(\cdot)}}$



Extrapolation in $L^{p(\cdot)}$

Theorem (DCU, DW)

Given an operator T , suppose that for some p_0 , $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$, and all $w \in A_{p_0}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^{p_0} w(x) dx \leq C([w]_{A_{p_0}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p_0} w(x) dx.$$

Let $p(\cdot)$ be such that $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in LH$. Then for $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\|(Tf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Similar results hold for off-diagonal ($\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \gamma$) and limited range ($q_- < p_- \leq p_+ < q_+$) extrapolation.

Extrapolation in $L^{p(\cdot)}$

Theorem (DCU, DW)

Given an operator T , suppose that for some p_0 , $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$, and all $w \in A_{p_0}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^{p_0} w(x) dx \leq C([w]_{A_{p_0}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p_0} w(x) dx.$$

Let $p(\cdot)$ be such that $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in LH$. Then for $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\|(Tf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Similar results hold for off-diagonal ($\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \gamma$) and limited range ($q_- < p_- \leq p_+ < q_+$) extrapolation.

Sketch of proof

- Follow proof of RdF extrapolation due to DCU, JMM, CP.
- Deal very carefully with choice of duality exponent.
- Use fact that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(w^{-1})$.
- Lerner showed that if $w^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$, the second follows from first w/o assuming $p(\cdot) \in LH$.



Sketch of proof

- Follow proof of RdF extrapolation due to DCU, JMM, CP.
- Deal very carefully with choice of duality exponent.
- Use fact that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(w^{-1})$.
- Lerner showed that if $w^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$, the second follows from first w/o assuming $p(\cdot) \in LH$.



Sketch of proof

- Follow proof of RdF extrapolation due to DCU, JMM, CP.
- Deal very carefully with choice of duality exponent.
- Use fact that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(w^{-1})$.
- Lerner showed that if $w^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$, the second follows from first w/o assuming $p(\cdot) \in LH$.



Sketch of proof

- Follow proof of RdF extrapolation due to DCU, JMM, CP.
- Deal very carefully with choice of duality exponent.
- Use fact that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(w^{-1})$.
- Lerner showed that if $w^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$, the second follows from first w/o assuming $p(\cdot) \in LH$.



Sketch of proof

- Follow proof of RdF extrapolation due to DCU, JMM, CP.
- Deal very carefully with choice of duality exponent.
- Use fact that M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(w)$ and $L^{p'(\cdot)}(w^{-1})$.
- Lerner showed that if $w^{p(\cdot)} \in A_\infty$, the second follows from first w/o assuming $p(\cdot) \in LH$.



Singular integrals in $L^{p(\cdot)}$

Corollary (DCU, DW)

If T is a Calderón-Zygmund singular integral, given $p(\cdot) \in LH$ such that $1 < p_- < p_+ < \infty$, then for $w \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,

$$\|(Tf)w\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|fw\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$



Factorization

Theorem (DCU, DW)

Given $w_1, w_2 \in A_1$ and $p(\cdot) \in LH$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then

$$w^{1/p(\cdot)} w^{-1/p'(\cdot)} \in A_{p(\cdot)} \cap A_2.$$

Theorem (DCU, DW)

$$A_\infty = \bigcup A_{p(\cdot)},$$

where union is taken over all $p(\cdot) \in LH$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$.



Factorization

Theorem (DCU, DW)

Given $w_1, w_2 \in A_1$ and $p(\cdot) \in LH$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, then

$$w^{1/p(\cdot)} w^{-1/p'(\cdot)} \in A_{p(\cdot)} \cap A_2.$$

Theorem (DCU, DW)

$$A_\infty = \bigcup A_{p(\cdot)},$$

where union is taken over all $p(\cdot) \in LH$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$.



Kiitos Paljon!

Roll Tide!

