



UNIVERSITY of OULU
OULUN YLIOPISTO

The Harnack inequality in generalized Orlicz spaces

Peter Hästö

May 24, 2016

Department of Mathematical Sciences



Non-standard growth

Consider the minimization problem $\inf_u \int_{\Omega} F(x, |\nabla u|) dx$.

Standard growth

$$F(x, t) \approx t^p, \quad p \in (1, \infty)$$

$$F(x, t) \approx t^p w(x), \quad w \in A_p$$

Non-standard growth

$$\text{Marcellini et al. } t^p - 1 \lesssim F(x, t) \lesssim t^q + 1, \quad p < q$$

$$\text{Variable exponent } F(x, t) \approx t^{p(x)}$$

$$\text{Double phase } \int |f|^p + a(x)|f|^q dx$$

$$\text{Generalized Orlicz } F(x, t) \approx \varphi(x, t)$$



Generalized Orlicz spaces

Lebesgue \rightarrow Orlicz \rightarrow generalized Orlicz

$$\int |f|^p dx \quad \text{to} \quad \int \varphi(|f|) dx \quad \text{to} \quad \int \varphi(x, |f|) dx.$$

Or Lebesgue \rightarrow variable exponent \rightarrow generalized Orlicz

Studied since the 1940's; monograph by Musielak (1983)

Covers both variable exponent and Orlicz



Examples

Double phase minimization $\int_{\Omega} |f|^p + a(x)|f|^q dx$ studied in

- ▶ Baroni, Colombo, Mingione (NA 2015; SPMJ 2016)
- ▶ Colombo, Mingione (ARMA 2015; ARMA 2015; JFA 2016)

log-type $\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p(x)} \log(e + |f|)^{q(x)} dx$

- ▶ Spaces: Maeda, Mizuta, Nakai, Ohno, Shimomura (2008; 2010; 2010; 2011; 2012; 2015)
- ▶ Minimization: Giannetti and Passarelli di Napoli (JDE 2013); Jihoon Ok (CVPDE 2015)



Motivation

- ▶ Fluid dynamics models (Wróblewska-Kamińska, 2014)
- ▶ Existence of solutions to parabolic equations with generalized Orlicz growth (Świerczewska-Gwiazda, 2014)
- ▶ Renormalized solutions in generalized Orlicz spaces (Gwiazda, Wittbold, Wróblewska and Zimmermann, 2012, 2015)
- ▶ Regularity of minimizers (previous slide)
 - ▶ In the variable exponent case, the change in the anisotropy (growth rate) is gradual owing to the continuity of p . (Electrorheological fluids)
 - ▶ In other situations, such as composite materials, a more clear-cut transition is better. (Double-phase)



Harnack's inequality

Theorem (The Harnack inequality)

Assume that (A0), (A1), (A1-n), (aDec) and (alnc) hold. Suppose that $u \in W_{loc}^{1,\varphi(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a local quasiminimizer. If $R < R_0$, then

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q_R} u \leq C \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q_R} u + R$$

Corollary

Assume that (A0), (A1), (A1-n), (aDec) and (alnc) hold. If u is a local quasiminimizer, then $u \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\Omega)$.

Joint work with Petteri Harjulehto (Turku) and Olli Toivanen (Warsaw)



Local quasiminimizers

We say that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is a **local K -quasiminimizer** if

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, |\nabla u|) dx \leq K \int_{\Omega} F(x, |\nabla v|) dx$$

for all $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ with $\text{spt}(u - v) \Subset \Omega$.



Assumptions

$$\varphi_B^+(t) := \sup_{x \in B} \varphi(x, t) \text{ and } \varphi_B^-(t) := \inf_{x \in B} \varphi(x, t)$$

(A0) There exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(x, \beta) \leq 1 \leq \varphi(x, 1)$ for every $x \in \Omega$.

(A1) There exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every ball $B \subset \Omega$,

$$\varphi_B^+(\beta t) \leq \varphi_B^-(t) \quad \text{when } t \in [1, (\varphi_B^-)^{-1}(\frac{1}{|B|})].$$

(A1-n) There exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every ball $B \subset \Omega$,

$$\varphi_B^+(\beta t) \leq \varphi_B^-(t) \quad \text{when } t \in [1, \frac{1}{|B|^{1/n}}].$$

(aInc) There exists $\gamma^- > 1$ such that $t \mapsto \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t^{\gamma^-}}$ is almost increasing for $t > 0$ uniformly in Ω .

(aDec) There exists $\gamma^+ > 1$ such that $t \mapsto \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t^{\gamma^+}}$ is almost decreasing for $t > 0$ uniformly in Ω .



Assumptions, variable exponent case

$$\varphi(x, t) := t^{p(x)} w(x)$$

(A0) $w \approx 1$.

(A1) p is locally log-Hölder continuous

(A1-n) p is locally log-Hölder continuous

(aInc) $p^- > 1$

(aDec) $p^+ < \infty$



Assumptions, double phase case

$$\varphi(x, t) := t^p + a(x)t^q, \quad q > p$$

$$(A0) \quad a \in L^\infty.$$

$$(A1) \quad a \in C^\alpha, \quad \frac{q}{p} \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}$$

$$(A1-n) \quad a \in C^\alpha, \quad q \leq p + \alpha$$

$$(aInc) \quad p > 1$$

$$(aDec) \quad q < \infty$$

If $\varphi(x, t) := t^p + a(x)t^p \log(e + t)$, then (A1) follows from log-Hölder continuity.



Other results

(A0)–(A2) + (alnc) \Rightarrow maximal operator bounded (JFA)

(A0)–(A2) + (alnc) + $\gamma^+ < n \Rightarrow$ Riesz potential operator bounded (Harjulehto, FM)

(A0)–(A2) + (aDec) \Rightarrow extrapolation (Cruz-Uribe)



Ideas for proof of Harnack inequality

Caccioppoli estimate straight-forward

$$\int_{A(k,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(u-k)_+|) dx \lesssim \int_{A(k,R)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{u-k}{R-r}\right) dx,$$

The difficulty is mixing modular and norm. Auxiliary estimates:

$$\int_Q \varphi(v)^{n'} \lesssim \left(\int_Q \varphi(\nabla v) \right)^{n'} ; \quad \int_Q \varphi(x, Mf_1) dx \lesssim \int_Q \varphi(x, f_1).$$

Split small and large f ;

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, t) := \varphi_Q^-(t) \chi_{[0,1]}(t) + \varphi(x, t) \chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$$

$$\int_{Q_\sigma} \bar{\varphi}(x, (u-k)_+) \lesssim \int_{Q_\tau} \bar{\varphi}\left(x, \frac{(u-h)_+}{\tau-\sigma}\right) \left(\int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\bar{\varphi}(x, (u-h)_+)}{\bar{\varphi}(x, k-h)} \right)^\alpha.$$



Ideas for proof, continued

$$\int_{Q_\sigma} \bar{\varphi}(x, (u-k)_+) \lesssim \int_{Q_\tau} \bar{\varphi}\left(x, \frac{(u-h)_+}{\tau-\sigma}\right) \left(\int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\bar{\varphi}(x, (u-h)_+)}{\bar{\varphi}(x, k-h)} \right)^\alpha$$

by the usual iteration, inhomogeneous version:

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q_{R/2}} u - k \lesssim R^{-\frac{\gamma^+}{\alpha\gamma^-}} \left(\int_{Q_R} \varphi(x, (u-k)_+) \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^-}} + 1$$

Minimizer is locally bounded, scaling $u_\delta(x) := \frac{1}{\delta}u(\delta x)$ and (A1-n) give

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{Q_{R/2}} u - k \lesssim \left(\int_{Q_R} (u-k)_+^{\gamma^+} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma^+}} + R$$

The inf-estimate is fairly standard, with Krylov–Safonov lemma.



Boundedness of maximal operator

The boundedness of the maximal operator in generalized Orlicz spaces was shown by Maeda, Mizuta, Ohno and Shimomura (2013) in $L^{\varphi(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ assuming (A0), (A1-1), (A2), (alnc) and (aDec).

Improved by me (in JFA, 2015; 2016) to (A0), (A1), (A2) and (alnc), and with Petteri Harjulehto (2016) to $L^{\varphi(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ (condition for extension).



Proof of maximal operator result.

Let $\psi \in \Phi$ be as in the lemma. It suffices to show that $M : L^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\psi^{1/\gamma}$ is convex, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$\psi(\epsilon Mf) = (\psi^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\epsilon Mf))^\gamma \leq (M(\psi^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\epsilon f)))^\gamma.$$

Let $f \in L^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\epsilon := \|f\|_\psi^{-1}$ so that $\varrho_\psi(\epsilon f) \leq 1$. Since M is bounded in $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M(\psi^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\epsilon f)))^\gamma dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\psi^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\epsilon f))^\gamma dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(\epsilon f) dx \leq 1.$$

Hence $\varrho_\psi(\epsilon Mf) \lesssim 1$, which implies that $\|\epsilon Mf\|_\psi \lesssim 1$. Dividing by ϵ , we find that $\|Mf\|_\psi \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} = \|f\|_\psi$, which completes the proof. □



Auxiliary results

Shimomura et al.'s results are included, on account of the following lemma.

Lemma

Suppose that $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is doubling and that $s \mapsto \frac{\varphi(s)}{s}$ is increasing. Then φ is equivalent to a convex function $\psi \in \Phi$.

Every Φ -function satisfying (A0)–(A2) is equivalent to a normalized Φ -function.

Definition

We say that $\varphi \in \Phi_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *normalized Φ -function* if $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi_\infty(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\beta\varphi^{-1}(x, t) \leq \varphi^{-1}(y, t)$$

for every $t \in [0, \frac{1}{|B|}]$, every $x, y \in B$ and every ball B .



- ▶ P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö: The Riesz potential in generalized Orlicz spaces, *Forum Math.*, to appear.
- ▶ P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and R. Klén: Generalized Orlicz spaces and relate PDE, *Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.
- ▶ P. Hästö: The maximal operator on Musielak-Orlicz spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 269 (2015), no. 12, 4038–4048.
- ▶ F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura: Boundedness of maximal operators and Sobolev's inequality on Musielak–Orlicz–Morrey spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 137 (2013), 76–96.
- ▶ F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno and T. Shimomura: Approximate identities and Young type inequalities in Musielak–Orlicz spaces. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 63(138) (2013), no. 4, 933–948.
- ▶ T. Ohno and T. Shimomura: Trudinger's inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak–Orlicz spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 138 (2014), no. 2, 225–235.